COURT-I

IN THE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL FOR ELECTRICITY (APPELLATE JURISDICTION)

<u>APPEAL NO. 300 OF 2018 & IA NOS. 1247, 1248, 1251, 1326, 1320, 1508, 1559 AND 1891 OF 2018</u>

Dated: 4th January, 2019

Present: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Manjula Chellur, Chairperson

Hon'ble Mr. B.N. Talukdar, Technical Member (P&NG)

In the matter of:

M/s Jay Madhok Energy Private Limited

...Appellant(s)

Vs.

Petroleum & Natural Gas Regulatory Board

...Respondent(s)

Counsel for the Appellant(s) : Mr. Shubhendu Kaushik

Counsel for the Respondent(s) : Mr. Prashant Bezboruah for R.1

Mr. Sajan Poovayya, Sr. Adv.

Ms. Sumiti Yadava Ms. Parminder Kaur Mr. Pratibhanu Kharola

Mr. Piyush Joshi Ms. Priyanka for R-2

ORDER

Learned counsel for the Appellant has sought for adjournment by circulating a letter dated 03/01/2019. This is seriously opposed and objected to on the ground that in spite of interim order in favour of appellant being vacated, the appellant is creating obstruction to the work carried out by the Respondent No. 2 entity since appellant is trying to lay gas pipeline/do excavation etc. in the authorized area allotted to Respondent No. 2 entity.

the Therefore. for reasons mentioned above, Respondent counsel seriously opposing the adjournment sought by the Appellant. It is made clear that appellant has no right or interest to do any work on the authorized area allocated to second Respondent entity especially in the light of authorization already given to Respondent No.2 entity and also the interim order in favour of the appellant being vacated for the reasons mentioned in the order dated 23.10.2018. In spite of this, if appellant entity persist, they will be responsible for all consequences including damages.

We also direct the Board to take suitable action, if any complaint is addressed to them by the Respondent No.2 in this regard.

List the matter on <u>18.01.2019</u>.

(B.N. Talukdar)
Technical Member (P&NG)

(Justice Manjula Chellur) Chairperson

js/vg